The Modern Relevance of God



SPECIAL PODCAST SERIES

RICHARD LLOYD JONES WITH CLÁUDIA B. S. PACHECO

To embrace sanity is to accept truth, live love, and be good.

Norberto Keppe

Thinking with Somebody Else's Head presents... The Modern Relevance of God Special Podcast Series

Science and Spirituality





Some Scientific Anti-Christs

Richard Lloyd Jones:

Welcome to Episode four of our Modern Relevance of God podcast series here on Thinking with Somebody Else's Head. I'm Richard Lloyd Jones.

Last time we looked at the mathematization of science, which effectively sheared away the 5,000 years of Judeo-Christian knowledge as if it had suddenly become obsolete. As if acknowledgement of the divine influence in human affairs was tantamount to continuing to believe the earth was flat. That's what materialistic science brought us. As English scientist, philosopher, theologian, and scientific revolution apologist William Whewell phrased it in the 1800s, "The scientific revolution was a transition from an unbounded reverence for the wisdom of the past, to a fervid expectation of change and improvement." That perfectly articulated the new view of nature that emerged at that time, replacing the more spiritual perspective that had dominated science for the previous 2000 years. And in the rush to move to quantifying everything with physical evidence and need to validate only those theories that are falsifiable, we reduced the wonder of creation, the miracle of life, to numbers and equations. Love is a chemical reaction, psychic perception is coincidenc e, God is a creation of the human being who needs something to believe in.

As Edwin Burtt put it in his book, The Metaphysical Foundations of Modern Science, "The natural world was portrayed as a vast, self-contained mathematical machine." Science simply removed the wonder of creation and replaced it with neurons and chemical reactions. And man was shoved apart as an unimportant spectator. All this is problematic, as we'll see in this episode. Cesar Soós is here once again to illustrate the problems inherent in this view.

Cesar Soós:

I think the biggest problem today is that some people are comfortable with these problems, saying that this is natural. For example, wars are natural. Misery is natural.

Jones:

We've always had them ...

Soós:

Depletion of the planet is natural because this is a process of evolution mankind always has. This is cyclical this process. This is a kind of very common idea. There is an underlying philosophical thought unconscious to them that leads them to think that all that is natural. And this can be placed in the Aristotle because he institutionalized the idea of evolution. And he institutionalized the idea of materialism. His philosophy led to that. For example, when he said that nothing comes to your intellect that hasn't come first from your senses ...

Jones:

Right. You can't understand anything that doesn't come through your senses first ...

Soós:

Yes. He was, in other words, saying that the sensorial life is essential for knowledge. So your physical body, your instincts, are essential for understanding of the abstract world, for intellectual life. And this led to a series of mistakes that other philosophers continued. All of the philosophies, all of the "isms" -- Darwinism, Freudianism, relativism, consumerism, capitalism, Marxism, socialism, communism, all these would be false promises of happiness to the human being and to mankind.

For example, Richard, Freud the belonged to an era where materialism, complete materialism, was being instituted in the 20th century in our science. And he led man to believe that the satisfaction of the sexual life would lead man to happiness. That all of his mental problems would be a consequence of the sexual life. As if the human being was just a body, just instinct, and the mind would follow. In other words, he pushed men to believe more and more that wars are natural, that sickness is natural, death is natural. You cannot do anything about that. You just have to go around these problems, you know. Because he said we have naturally a death instinct and a life instinct. As if evil inside of you was something natural. And so he helped humanity to hide this voice of consciousness.

Dr. Keppe speaks a lot about hide this voice of ethics inside of you, this fingerprint of God you are born with. He said that you get sick when you are censored. Dr. Keppe says that Freud should have said the human being gets sick because of the censorship he makes with this inner voice of truth he has. And this is due to Theomania, to the mania of feeling yourself a God. Because if you feel that you are a God, you think you are always right. So when you have a violent instinct, you want immediately to blame somebody else, not to see it in yourself. Where is the inner life, the Holy Spirit in this theory? And this is very easy to understand because many people prefer to die rather than seeing the truth about themselves. So they are prepared to cause wars, to kill, even to die, rather than seeing their mistakes.

Jones:

Up next would be, Cesar. as we look at our list of inverted scientists who've affected our thinking, driven our scientific view, the most famous biologist of the 20th century, although he did all his work in the 19th century, Charles Darwin.

Soós:

There are a lot of things here. So he led humanity to believe that we can be Gods in the future, that we evolve from one species to another. There has never been any evidence of that. Never. But this is instituted in science, in schools, as if it was a truth. This philosophy of life, if you can say that, also led human beings to kill spirituality, because if you come from an ape, there is no God. This nourished the idea that transcendence is impossible, that transcendence is just a dream or a fancy thing. What really exists is matter, is what I can sense. In this sense, Darwin was completely Aristotelian as well, like Freud. Your happiness comes from the instincts. And here as well you see the same spirit. Men replaced God, a wonderful, totally beautiful creature, the creator of everything, he replaced with an ape. And even worse than that, Richard, modern Darwinists today believe the human being came from viruses. So he replaced God the Father with viruses, which is closer to Satan than God, you see? So we can see the satanic Trinity working perfectly here as well.

Jones:

When you read modern Darwinists, you read a lot about how nature has no feelings; nature doesn't care about you. It only cares about survival and ...

Soós:

For example, just because you are superior to a dog in evolution, when you see a dog do you start kicking it? No way. The more evolved, let's say, you are, the more you care for the inferior elements. You take care of your house, you take care of your plants, you take care of your cat, of nature, you don't pollute the rivers. So consciousness is something completely against the evolution of human beings, let's say. Consciousness is completely against this idea of "fight for survival".

Jones:

Nature is constantly looking after us. We constantly have oxygen. We constantly have opportunities to have food and beautiful fruit on the trees, unless we destroy it.

Soós:

Let me give you one example of how nature can repair our wrongdoings. Here in San Paulo, we have a very important river called the Tietê River, and this river gets very polluted, completely dead, no life in the river. But 200 kilometers away from here, you can drink the water in the river, and it's downstream. So it means nature has its own ways to recover the wrongdoings we do.

Jones:

I also think what's interesting about that is that the nature in its natural state, the river in its natural state is not a mixture of polluted river and good river. The river in its natural state. This is the thing about the balance of nature. The balance of nature is perfect. It's not a mixture of perfection and becoming perfection. It is perfection. It works in total harmony. It's not becoming anything. So the idea of Darwin is totally based on the idea that we have to become a level of perfect. But nature in its natural state is perfect. It works in perfect. It works in perfect that is perfect.

Soós:

Like Parmenides, the creator of metaphysics in ancient Greece, said "The being is, and cannot not be." You are what you are and you will always be what you are, a human being. And that's it.

Jones:

And the corollary of that is that if you don't exist, you will never exist. So the human being existing, exists from the beginning.

Soós:

That's why Dr. Keppe says that it's not like Aristotle and the other metaphysicians said: "There is the non-being, and then with time they become being." It's the opposite. There is the being, and with time you can become a non-being.

Jones:

You can kill yourself.

Soós:

by denying yourself.

Jones:

The nature of nature. It's beautiful to think about that, isn't it? How it works, how harmonious it is. I think the height of arrogance and ignorance to think all that came from a tiny explosion. Or some single celled organisms struggling away in the primal ooze. Or worse yet from random chaos order implies work. It demands organization. I, for the life of me, can't get my head around the idea that all this natural balance comes from billions of years of genetic accidents. As Steve Jones defined it in Darwin's Ghost, which he declared was an update on The Origin of the Species that includes the modern understanding of viral evolution for one as proof of Darwin's theory, the research and clinical practice being done here at the Keppe & Pacheco Trilogical College lays bare the inferiority and wrong headedness of that view. Which leads us naturally to a consideration of the nature of the organizer of all this nature. Who puts it all together? That's in our next episode.

Listen to Episode 1 Listen to Episode 2 Listen to Episode 3 Download PDF for Episode 1 Download PDF for Episode 2 Download PDF for Episode 3

rich@richjonesvoice.com https://stopradio.org https://somebodyelseshead.wordpress.com